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1 Assignment 3.1.1
For the first part of this assignment, we will Find the inverse relations for $\mathrm{E}, \nu$ in terms of $\lambda, \mu$. This can be seen in the figure below.

1) Find the inverse relations for $E, v$ in terms of $\lambda, \mu$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\frac{E v}{(1+v)(1-2 v)} \quad \mu=\frac{E}{2(1+\nu)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- first we will rearrange $\mu$ for $E$ in (1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=2 \mu(1+v) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

- we will now plug in $E$ into $\lambda$ from (1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\frac{2 \mu(1+v) v}{(1+v)(1-2 v)} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

- reducing gives us...

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\frac{2 \mu v}{(1-2 v)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

- rearranging for $v .$.

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda-2 v \lambda & =2 \mu v \\
\lambda & =2 \mu v+2 v \lambda \\
\lambda & =2(\mu+2) \nu \\
v & =\frac{\lambda}{2(\mu+2)} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

-we will now plug (5) into (2)

$$
E=2 \mu\left(1+\frac{\lambda}{2(\mu+2)}\right)=2 \mu\left(\frac{2 \mu+3 \lambda}{2(\mu+2)}\right)
$$

$$
E=\mu\left(\frac{2 \mu+3 \lambda}{\mu+\lambda}\right)
$$

2 Assignment 3.1.2
We will now transform the plane stress and plane strain elasticity matrices in terms of $\lambda, \mu$.
2) The plane stress elasticity matrix is ...

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{x x}  \tag{7}\\
\sigma_{y y} \\
\sigma_{z z}
\end{array}\right]=\frac{E}{1-v^{2}}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & v & 0 \\
v & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1-v}{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
e_{x x} \\
e_{x y} \\
e_{z z}
\end{array}\right]
$$

- we will now solve for $\frac{E}{1-v^{2}}, \frac{1-v}{2}$ in terms of $\lambda, \mu$ by plugging in (E), (6)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{E}{1-V^{2}}=\frac{\left[\mu\left(\frac{2 \mu+3 \lambda}{\mu+2}\right)\right]}{\left[1-\frac{2^{2}}{4(2+\mu)^{2}}\right]}=\frac{\left[\mu\left(\frac{2 \mu+32}{\mu+2}\right)\right]}{\left[\frac{4(\mu+2)^{2}+\lambda^{2}}{4(\mu+2)^{2}}\right]} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

- reducing (8) gives us..

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { reducing (8) gives us. }  \tag{q}\\
& \frac{E}{1-v^{2}}=\frac{4 \mu(2 \mu+3 \lambda)}{\left[\frac{(2(\mu+2)+2)(2(\mu+2)-2)}{(\mu+2)}\right]}=\frac{4 \mu(\mu+2)}{2 \mu+2}
\end{align*}
$$

- now solving $\frac{1-\nu}{2}$ in terms of $\lambda, \mu$

$$
\frac{1-v}{2}=\frac{\left[1-\frac{2}{2(\mu+2)}\right]}{2}=\frac{\left[\frac{2 \mu+2}{2(\mu+2)}\right]}{2}=\frac{2 \mu+2}{4(\mu+2)} \text { (10) }
$$

- and plugging $(10),(9),(5)$ into (7) gives us

The plone strain problem elasticity matrix is ...

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{x x}  \tag{11}\\
\sigma_{y y} \\
\sigma_{z 2}
\end{array}\right]=\frac{E(1-v)}{(1+v)(1-2 v)}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \frac{v}{1-v} & 0 \\
\frac{v}{1-v} & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1-2 v}{2(1-v)}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
e_{x x} \\
e_{y y} \\
2 e_{z z}
\end{array}\right]
$$

- we will now solve for $\frac{v}{1-v}, \frac{1-2 v}{2(1-v)}, \frac{E(1-v)}{(1+v)(1-2 v)}$ in terms of $\lambda, \mu$ by plugging in (5),(6)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{v}{1-v)}=\frac{\left(\frac{\lambda}{2(\mu \lambda)}\right)}{\left(\frac{2 \mu+2}{2(\mu+2)}\right)}=\frac{\lambda}{2 \mu+\lambda}  \tag{12}\\
& \frac{1-2 v}{2(1-v)}=\frac{\left(1-\left(\frac{2 \lambda}{2(\mu+\lambda)}\right)\right)}{\left(2\left(\frac{2 \mu+2}{2(\mu+\lambda)}\right)\right)}=\frac{\left(\frac{\mu}{\mu+\lambda}\right)}{\left(\frac{2 \mu+\lambda}{\mu+2}\right)}=\frac{\mu}{2 \mu+2} \quad(13) \\
& \frac{E(1-v)}{(1+v)(1-2 v)}=\frac{\left[\frac{\mu(2 \mu+32)(2 \mu+\lambda)}{2(\mu+2)(\mu+2)}\right]}{\left[\frac{\mu(2 \mu+32)}{2(\mu+2)(\mu+2)}\right]}=2 \mu+2 \quad(14)
\end{align*}
$$

- now, plugging (12),(13),(14) back into (11) gives us

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{x x} \\
\sigma_{y y} \\
\sigma_{z z}
\end{array}\right]=(2 \mu+2)\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 \frac{\lambda}{2 \mu+2} & 0 \\
\frac{\lambda}{2 \mu+\lambda} & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{\mu}{2 \mu+\lambda}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
e_{x x} \\
e_{y y} \\
2 e_{z z}
\end{array}\right]
$$

3 Assignment 3.1.3
Now we will split the plane strain matrix into its components as follows.
3) we will now split the stress-strain matrix $E$ for plain strain as $E=E_{\lambda}+E_{\mu}$

- first we will distribute the terms from (15) this gives us

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2 \mu+2 & \frac{\lambda(2 \mu+2)}{2 \mu+2} & 0 \\
\frac{2(2 \mu+2)}{2 \mu+2} & 2 \mu+2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{\mu(2 \mu+2)}{(2 \mu+2)}
\end{array}\right]
$$

(16)
reducing (16) gives us

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2 \mu+\lambda & \lambda & 0  \tag{17}\\
\lambda & 2 \mu+\lambda & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \mu
\end{array}\right]
$$

and splitting (17) gives us

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{2}=\lambda\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \\
& E_{\mu}=\mu\left[\begin{array}{lll}
2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

4 Assignment 3.1.4
And replacing $\lambda, \mu$ with their representations in terms of E and $\nu$ give us our final answer.




## 5 Assignment 3.2.1

We will now calculate both $K_{t r i}$ and $K_{b a r}$ so we will be able to compare them in later sections. These calculations can be seen below and on the next page.
a) plane linear turner triongle with some dimensions


$$
K_{t r i}=\frac{E_{1}}{2 a^{2}}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-a & 0 & -a \\
0 & -a & -a \\
a & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & a \\
0 & 0 & a \\
0 & a & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
-a & 0 & a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -a & 0 & 0 & 0 & a \\
-a & -a & 0 & a & a & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
K_{t r i}=\frac{E}{2}\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1.5 & 0.5 & -1.0 & -0.5 & -0.5 & 0 \\
0.5 & 1.5 & 0 & -0.5 & -0.5 & -1.0 \\
-1.0 & 0 & 1.0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-0.5 & -0.5 & 0 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0 \\
-0.5 & -0.5 & 0 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0 \\
0 & -1.0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1.0
\end{array}\right]
$$

b) set of 3 bar elements $A_{1}=A_{2}, A_{3}$

Element 1

$$
\uparrow_{a}^{i^{3}} \begin{aligned}
& (1) \\
& I_{1}^{\pi / 2}
\end{aligned} \quad K^{\prime}=E A_{1}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Element 2

$$
K^{2}=E A_{1}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Element 3


$$
K_{B A R}=E\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
A & 0 & -A & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & A & 0 & 0 & 0 & -A \\
-A & 0 & A_{1}+\frac{A_{3}}{2 \sqrt{2}} & \frac{-A_{3}}{2 \sqrt{2}} & \frac{-A_{3}}{2 \sqrt{2}} & \frac{A_{3}}{2 \sqrt{2}} \\
0 & 0 & \frac{-A_{3}}{2 \sqrt{2}} & \frac{A_{3}}{2 \sqrt{2}} & \frac{A_{3}}{2 \sqrt{2}} & \frac{-A_{3}}{2 \sqrt{2}} \\
0 & 0 & \frac{-A_{3}}{2 \sqrt{2}} & \frac{A_{3}}{2 \sqrt{2}} & \frac{A_{3}}{2 \sqrt{2}} & \frac{-A_{3}}{2 \sqrt{2}} \\
0 & -A_{1} & \frac{A_{3}}{2 \sqrt{2}} & \frac{-A_{3}}{2 \sqrt{2}} & \frac{-A_{3}}{2 \sqrt{2}} & \frac{A_{3}}{2 \sqrt{2}}+A_{1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

## 6 Assignment 3.2.2

There are no values of $A_{3}$ to make the stiffness matrices equal. A value that will make some of the terms similar is $A_{3}=1 / \sqrt{2}$. This will bring some of the terms in the same position to $1 / 4$.

## 7 Assignment 3.2.3

The physical meaning behind these matrices not being equal is that when the element is made up of 3 bar elements, it acts as more of a truss structure with no material inside the element. On the other hand, the triangular element acts more like a cross section of area with material inside the element.

## 8 Assignment 3.2.4

Changing Possion's ratio to a nonzero value will change the elastic matrix. This can be seen below.
when $v \neq 0$, the elastic strain matrix is as follows

$$
\bar{E}=\frac{E}{1-v}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & v & 0 \\
v & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1-v}{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$



This really helps highlight the physical differences between the two types of element. The bar elements are not even dependent on Possion's ratio adding strength to the theory that it behaves more like a truss and not like a cross-section of material.

