

# Computational Solid Mechanics

MASTER'S DEGREE IN NUMERICAL METHODS IN ENGINEERING

# Assignment 1: Damage model

Authors: Diego Roldán Supervisor: Prof. S. Joaquín A. Hernández Ortega

Academic Year 2019-2020

# Contents

1	Introduction	1
<b>2</b>	Rate Independent Models	<b>2</b>
3	Rate Dependent Models	8
4	Conclusions	12
$\mathbf{A}$	Appendix	13

# 1 Introduction

The principal goal for this assignment is giving to the student an understanding in the algorithmic structure, especially the numerical integration, of continuum damage constitutive models. The program is focused on the local constitutive response and not on the overall structural response. The user is responsible for giving a prescribed local strain history (at a given point of the continuum). Finally, concepts, for instance, elastic domain, Kuhn-Tucker loading/unloading conditions, damage surface and so on can be assimilated and readily grasped via appropriate graphical representations.

It is provided a program for Matlab software which professor Hernández Ortega has implemented. This is partially completed, therefore, the assignment consist of completing the code and understanding how it works according to the damage model theory in order to obtain its full performance in the case of plane strain case.

To summarize what it is already implemented and not, it is presented the next list:

• Damage models:

Implemented: Symmetric

To be implemented: Tension-only, Non-symmetric

• Softening/hardening law

Implemented: Linear Law

To be implemented: Exponential Law

• Viscous/inviscid case

Implemented: Inviscid

To be implemented: Viscous

At the of the report, it is annexed the code implemented for this assignment with comments in order to facilitate the readability of the code.

### 2 Rate Independent Models

#### 2.1 Linear and exponential hardening/softening

In the first part of the assignment is required to implement the code for linear and exponential hardening (H<0) and softening (H>0). In order to show the correct implementation, it is shown the four cases and its expected behaviour in table 1. To do so, it has been tested the Symmetric case and plotted the variables "hardening variable (q(r))" and 'internal variable (r)'. It has been used only one path [1000 0] with this extensive length to appreciate the exponential behaviour. It is introduced for the exponential case, A=1 and  $q_{\infty} = \pm 2$ . The other values for the calculation are specified in the next section.



Table 1: Linear and exponential hardening/softening

As it is possible to conclude when the softening is produced (H<0), the slope is negative for both linear and exponential. In the same way, when the hardening is produced (H>0) the slope is positive for both linear and exponential. The results coincide with the expected behaviour.

#### 2.2 Correctness of the implementation

The damage surface is the elastic material limit. The current stress state has to be inside or on the damage surface in accordance with the damage criteria for inviscid materials. Therefore, there is an elastic behaviour (both elastic loading and unloading) if the stress path is within the damage surface. If the stress state is situated on the surface, there is an inelastic damage state where the internal variable plays a role in its evolution. As it is shown in 12, there is an evolution of the internal variable with pure loading and there is not any increase when unloading.

In order to asses the correctness of the implementation of this program, it is tested different plane strain, rate-independent case models to be studied their behaviour. These tests consist of applying some paths for the stress space and obtaining its respective stressstrain curves for each implemented model. It is also obtained the behaviour of the damage and internal with the time in order to appreciate the correctness of the implementation. This path starts at the point  $\sigma_1 = 0$  and  $\sigma_2 = 0$  and follows by three-segments paths given in the strain space below.

1.  $\Delta \overline{\sigma}_{1}^{(1)} = \alpha \quad ; \quad \Delta \overline{\sigma}_{2}^{(1)} = 0 \quad (\text{uniaxial tensile loading})$   $\Delta \overline{\sigma}_{1}^{(2)} = -\beta \quad ; \quad \Delta \overline{\sigma}_{2}^{(2)} = 0 \quad (\text{uniaxial tensile unloading/compressive loading})$   $\Delta \overline{\sigma}_{1}^{(3)} = \gamma \quad ; \quad \Delta \overline{\sigma}_{2}^{(3)} = 0 \quad (\text{uniaxial compressive unloading/ tensile loading})$ 2.  $\Delta \overline{\sigma}_{1}^{(1)} = \alpha \quad ; \quad \Delta \overline{\sigma}_{2}^{(1)} = 0 \quad (\text{uniaxial tensile loading})$   $\Delta \overline{\sigma}_{1}^{(2)} = -\beta \quad ; \quad \Delta \overline{\sigma}_{2}^{(2)} = -\beta \quad (\text{biaxial tensile unloading/compressive loading})$   $\Delta \overline{\sigma}_{1}^{(3)} = \gamma \quad ; \quad \Delta \overline{\sigma}_{2}^{(3)} = \gamma \quad (\text{biaxial compressive unloading/tensile loading})$ 3.  $\Delta \overline{\sigma}_{1}^{(1)} = \alpha \quad ; \quad \Delta \overline{\sigma}_{2}^{(1)} = \alpha \quad (\text{biaxial tensile loading})$   $\Delta \overline{\sigma}_{1}^{(2)} = -\beta \quad ; \quad \Delta \overline{\sigma}_{2}^{(2)} = -\beta \quad (\text{biaxial tensile unloading/compressive loading})$   $\Delta \overline{\sigma}_{1}^{(3)} = \gamma \quad ; \quad \Delta \overline{\sigma}_{2}^{(3)} = \gamma \quad (\text{biaxial tensile unloading/compressive loading})$   $\Delta \overline{\sigma}_{1}^{(3)} = \gamma \quad ; \quad \Delta \overline{\sigma}_{2}^{(3)} = \gamma \quad (\text{biaxial tensile unloading/compressive loading})$   $\Delta \overline{\sigma}_{1}^{(3)} = \gamma \quad ; \quad \Delta \overline{\sigma}_{2}^{(3)} = \gamma \quad (\text{biaxial tensile unloading/compressive loading})$ 

Where  $\alpha$  is decided to be 350,  $\beta$  is -1600 and finally,  $\gamma$  is 1700. So, in the given code it is introduced for each one of the cases, the following stresses.

Case 1-> [350; 0]-> [-1250; 0]-> [450; 0]Case 2-> [350; 0]-> [-1250; -1600]-> [450; 100]Case 3-> [350; 350]-> [-1250; -1250]-> [450; 450]

These stress paths are chosen in order to show the features coded going thorough the defined limit cases of the corresponding problem.

The properties decided to give to the models are the following ones:

- Young Modulus, E=20000
- Poisson's ratio = 0.3
- Hardening / Softening Modulus, H=0.1
- Ratio compression/tension strength, n=3
- $q_{\infty} = 2$

For the Tension-only case, it is possible to notice that it behaves as the symmetric case for the positive values of both stresses as it is shown in figures 2, 3 and 6. The principal characteristic is the elasticity and even if it is applied loading or unloading, the model does not suffer any change regarding hardening or softening.

For the Non-Symmetric case, it is possible to notice that it behaves as the symmetric case and Tension-only for the positives values of both stresses as it is shown in figure

6. In this case, there is an extended area in the pure compression zone of the model related to the ratio of tension/compression strength (n). Therefore, it is produced a softening/hardening in the compressive surface of the stress space affecting the results given by the model.

Next, it is presented the behaviour for the stress space for the specified paths for Tensiononly and Non-symmetric models.



Case 1

Figure 1: Case 1, Tension-only, stress space path.



Figure 2: Case 1, Tension-only, Principal stress vs principal strain.



Figure 3: Case 1, Symmetric, Principal stress vs principal strain.



Figure 4: Case 1, Tension-only, Internal variable (r) vs time.

In figure 4, it is possible to see the evolution of the internal variable (r). It increases when the elastic regime is surpassed by the tensile loading and it remains constant due to the fact it has no constraint for compressive loading.



Figure 5: Case 1, Non-symmetric, stress space path.



Figure 6: Case 1, Non-symmetric, Principal stress vs principal strain.



Figure 7: Case 2, Tension-only, stress space path.



Figure 8: Case 2, Tension-only, Principal stress vs principal strain.



Figure 9: Case 2, Non-symmetric, Damage variable (d) vs time.

Case 3



Figure 10: Case 3, Non-symmetric, stress space path.



Figure 11: Case 3, Non-symmetric, Principal stress vs principal strain.



Figure 12: Case 3, Non-symmetric, Internal variable (r) vs time.

Once the graphs are obtained and analysed with the implemented code, it is possible to conclude the correct assessment of the damage model implementation because it behaves as the theory states.

### **3** Rate Dependent Models

In this section, time (t) acts as an independent variable, unlike in rate-independent model that acted as a parameter. Considering the time as an independent variable means that, although the strain is constant throughout time, the stress has not to be constant necessarily. It also provokes that the stress points can be allocated outside the elastic domain.

In order to asses the correctness of the implementation of the code, it is analysed the following cases applied in the visco-damage "symmetric tension-compression" model (for a specific given Poisson ratio and linear hardening/softening parameter given in the previous section). It is created only a one-segmented path starting from the point  $\sigma_1 = 0$  and  $\sigma_2 = 0$ .

#### 3.1 Different viscosity parameters $\eta$

It is created only a one-segmented path of [1000 ; 1000] because it is easier to observe the effect of  $\eta$ . It has been tested for the following values:  $\eta = 0$ ,  $\eta = 1$ ,  $\eta = 10$ ,  $\eta = 100$ ,  $\eta = 1000$ . All the other parameters have been fixed in order to just study the behaviour of the viscosity;  $\alpha = 0.5$  and total time is 10.

It is seen that in the Stress-strain curve in figure 13, when  $\eta$  increases, the curve tends to become a unique line because the curve loses the softening/hardening slope and it approaches its limit case. Moreover, it is possible to observe that the higher is the viscosity parameter, the material reaches a higher value of stress.

It is concluded that the implementation is well implemented because it behaves as expected.



Figure 13: Influence of viscosity  $(\eta)$  on the stress-strain curve.

#### **3.2** Different strain rate, $\dot{\epsilon}$ , values

It is created only a one-segmented path of [600; 600] to observe the influence of the strain rate,  $\dot{\epsilon}$ , in the stress-strain curve. It has been tested a variation of the total time in order to study its behaviour; more time means minor strain rate. The values tested are t=1, t=100, t=1000, t=1000. The viscosity  $\eta$  is equal to 1, and  $\alpha = 0.5$ .

It is observed in figure 14 when the total time increases, the stress decreases. There is a point when the total time is high enough, considered as  $\dot{\epsilon} - > 0$ , that the curve behaves always as a limit state of values of stress and it acts as the inviscid case.

Therefore, it is concluded that rate dependent models depend on strain rates.



Figure 14: Influence of time  $(\dot{\epsilon})$  on the stress-strain curve.

#### **3.3** Different $\alpha$ values

Finally, it is tested the influence of  $\alpha$  values for the stress-strain curves and created only a one-segmented path of [1000; 1000]. It has been tested different values of  $\alpha$  ( $\alpha = 0$ ,  $\alpha = 0.25$ ,  $\alpha = 0.5$ ,  $\alpha = 0.75$ ,  $\alpha = 1$ . The viscosity  $\eta$  is equal to 10, and the total time is 10.

In figure 15, it is seen the influence of alpha on the stress-strain curve. It is observed that when the value  $\alpha$  is close 1, the curve is softer, unlike when it approaches 0. It is noticed that until the value of strain 0.005, the values for each one of the  $\alpha$  are the same in the curve.



Figure 15: Influence of  $\alpha$  on the stress-strain curve.

In table 2, it is presented the effects of  $\alpha$  the values, on the evolution along time of the component  $C_{11}$  of the tangent and algorithmic constitutive operators.

It is possible to observe that for  $\alpha = 0$ , both constitutive operators behave the same way, but when  $\alpha$  increases,  $C_{alg}$  modifies its value while  $C_{tang}$  maintains almost constant. The reason for this behaviour is found in the expression of the operators, where  $C_{alg}$  has an elevated dependency of the term  $\alpha$ .



Table 2: The effects of  $\alpha$  the values, on the evolution along time of the component  $C_{11}$  of the tangent and algorithmic constitutive operators.

## 4 Conclusions

To conclude this assignment, it is possible to affirm that the codes are well implemented because all the parameters and curves behave as expected and give valid results. This code can compute Damage models as Only-Tension and Non-symmetric for viscous and exponential cases, apart from the ones already implemented.

In order to do further work for this project, it is required to code for the cases of plane stress and 3D theory.

## A Appendix

Next, it is presented the implemented code required for this assignment.

#### A.1 Modelos de daño

```
function [rtrial] = Modelos_de_dano1 (MDtype,ce,eps_n1,n)
1
   2
   %*
              Defining damage criterion surface
3
  %*
4
   %*
5
  %*
                            MDtype= 1 : SYMMETRIC
6
                            MDtype= 2
MDtype= 3
  %*
                                          : ONLY TENSION
7
   %*
                                          : NON-SYMMETRIC
8
  %*
9
   %*
10
   %* OUTPUT:
11
   %*
                            rtrial
12
   13
14
15
16
   17
   if (MDtype==1)  %* Symmetric
18
   rtrial= sqrt(eps n1*ce*eps n1')
                                                   ;
19
20
21
   elseif (MDtype==2) %* Only tension
22
   s_n1 = ce*eps_n1';
23
   s_n1pos = [max(s_n1(1),0) max(s_n1(2),0) max(s_n1(3),0) max(s_n1(4),0)];
24
   rtrial =sqrt(eps n1*s n1pos');
25
26
27
   elseif (MDtype==3)
                    %*Non-symmetric
28
   s n1 = ce*eps n1';
29
   s_n1pos = [max(s_n1(1),0) max(s_n1(2),0) max(s_n1(3),0) max(s_n1(4),0)];
30
   abs s n1 = abs(s n1);
31
   teta = (sum(s n1pos))/(sum(abs s n1));
32
   rtrial= sqrt(eps_n1*ce*eps_n1') * (teta + (1 - teta)/n);
33
34
   end
35
   36
   return
37
```

#### A.2 Dibujar criterio daño

```
function hplot = dibujar_criterio_dano1(ce,nu,q,tipo_linea,MDtype,n)
1
   %******************
2
   %*
                   PLOT DAMAGE SURFACE CRITERIUM: ISOTROPIC MODEL
3
   %*
4
   %*
        function [ce] = tensor_elastico (Eprop, ntype)
\mathbf{5}
   %*
6
   %*
          INPUTS
7
   %*
8
   %*
     Eprop(4)
                vector de propiedades de material
                                                            %*
9
   %*
     Eprop(1) = E - - - > modulo de Young
                                             %*
10
     Eprop(2)= nu---->modulo de Poisson
   %*
                                             %*
11
   %*
      Eprop(3) = H - - - > modulo de Softening/hard. %*
12
      Eprop(4)=sigma_u---->tensin ltima
   %*
                                           %*
13
   %*
                                             %*
           ntype
14
                                                           %*
  %*
                ntype=1 plane stress
15
   %*
                ntype=2 plane strain
                                                           %*
16
   %*
                                                           %*
                ntype=3 3D
17
                                                           %*
   %* ce(4,4)
                Constitutive elastic tensor
                                         (PLANE S.
                                                       )
18
   %* ce(6,6)
                                                           %*
                                         ( 3D)
19
   20
21
22
   23
   %*
           Inverse ce
^{24}
   ce inv=inv(ce);
25
   c11=ce inv(1,1);
26
   c22=ce inv(2,2);
27
   c12=ce inv(1,2);
28
   c21=c12;
29
   c14=ce_inv(1,4);
30
   c24=ce inv(2,4);
31
   32
33
   34
   % POLAR COORDINATES
35
   if MDtype==1
36
      tetha=[0:0.01:2*pi];
37
      38
      %* RADIUS
39
      D=size(tetha);
                                      %*
                                        Range
40
                                      %*
      m1=cos(tetha);
41
```

```
%*
        m2=sin(tetha);
42
                                                %*
        Contador=D(1,2);
43
44
45
        radio = zeros(1,Contador) ;
46
        s1
              = zeros(1,Contador) ;
47
        s2
              = zeros(1,Contador) ;
48
49
        for i=1:Contador
50
            radio(i)= q/sqrt([m1(i) m2(i) 0 nu*(m1(i)+m2(i))]*ce_inv*...
51
            [m1(i) m2(i) 0 nu*(m1(i)+m2(i))]');
52
53
            s1(i)=radio(i)*m1(i);
54
            s2(i)=radio(i)*m2(i);
55
56
        end
57
        hplot =plot(s1,s2,tipo_linea);
58
59
60
    elseif MDtype==2
61
        tetha=[0:0.01:2*pi];
62
        63
        %* RADIUS
64
        D=size(tetha);
                                                %*
                                                    Range
65
        m1=cos(tetha);
                                                %*
66
                                                %*
        m2=sin(tetha);
67
        Contador=D(1,2);
                                                %*
68
69
70
        radio = zeros(1,Contador) ;
71
        s1 = zeros(1,Contador) ;
72
        s2 = zeros(1,Contador) ;
73
74
        for i=1:Contador
75
            radio(i)= q/sqrt([max(m1(i),0) max(m2(i),0) 0 ...
76
            max(nu*(m1(i)+m2(i)),0)]*ce_inv*[m1(i) m2(i) 0 ...
77
            nu*(m1(i)+m2(i))]');
78
        s1(i)=radio(i)*m1(i);
79
        s2(i)=radio(i)*m2(i);
80
        end
81
        hplot =plot(s1,s2,tipo linea);
82
        axis([-1100 600 -1100 600])
83
84
    elseif MDtype==3
85
86
```

```
tetha=[0:0.01:2*pi];
87
       88
       %* RADIUS
89
       D=size(tetha);
                                           %*
                                              Range
90
       m1=cos(tetha) ;
                                           %*
91
       m2=sin(tetha);
                                           %*
92
       Contador=D(1,2);
                                           %*
93
94
95
       radio = zeros(1,Contador) ;
96
       s1
             = zeros(1,Contador) ;
97
       s2
             = zeros(1,Contador) ;
98
99
       for i=1:Contador
100
         %Compute tetha as function of stresses
101
         abs s = sum(abs([m1(i) m2(i) 0 nu*(m1(i)+m2(i))]));
102
         pos_s = sum([max(m1(i),0) max(m2(i),0) 0 max(nu*(m1(i)+m2(i)),0)]);
103
         teta = pos_s/abs_s;
104
105
           radio(i)= q/(sqrt([m1(i) m2(i) 0 nu*(m1(i)+m2(i))]*...
106
           ce_inv*[m1(i) m2(i) 0 nu*(m1(i)+m2(i))]')*(teta+(1-teta)/n));
107
108
        s1(i)=radio(i)*m1(i);
109
        s2(i)=radio(i)*m2(i);
110
       end
111
112
       hplot =plot(s1,s2,tipo_linea);
113
114
115
116
    end
117
    118
119
120
121
    122
    return
123
```

#### A.3 Damage main

```
1 function [sigma_v,vartoplot,LABELPLOT,TIMEVECTOR,Calg,Ctang]=...
```

```
2 damage_main(Eprop,ntype,istep,strain,MDtype,n,TimeTotal,q_inf)
```

```
3
   global hplotSURF
4
   \mathbf{5}
   % CONTINUUM DAMAGE MODEL
6
   % ------
7
   \% Given the almansi strain evolution ("strain(totalstep,mstrain)") and
8
   \% a set of parameters and properties, it returns the evolution of
9
   % the cauchy stress and other variables that are listed below.
10
   %
11
   12
   % -----
13
   % Eprop(1) = Young's modulus (E)
14
   % Eprop(2) = Poisson's coefficient (nu)
15
   % Eprop(3) = Hardening(+)/Softening(-) modulus (H)
16
   % Eprop(4) = Yield stress (sigma_y)
17
   % Eprop(5) = Type of Hardening/Softening law (hard_type)
18
   %
                0 --> LINEAR
19
   %
                1 \rightarrow Exponential
20
   % Eprop(6) = Rate behavior (viscpr)
^{21}
   %
                0 --> Rate-independent (inviscid)
22
   %
                1 --> Rate-dependent
                                      (viscous)
23
   %
24
   % Eprop(7) = Viscosity coefficient (eta) (dummy if inviscid)
25
   % Eprop(8) = ALPHA coefficient (for time integration), (ALPHA)
26
   %
                 O<=ALPHA<=1 , ALPHA = 1.0 --> Implicit
27
   %
                               ALPHA = 0.0 \longrightarrow Explicit
28
   %
                 (dummy if inviscid)
29
   %
30
   % ntype
              = PROBLEM TYPE
31
   %
                1 : plane stress
32
   %
                2 : plane strain
33
   %
                3 : 3D
34
   %
35
   % istep = steps for each load state (istep1, istep2, istep3)
36
   %
37
   \% strain(i,j) = j-th component of the linearized strain vector
38
   %
                   at the i-th step, i = 1:totalstep+1
39
   %
40
   % MDtype
                = Damage surface criterion %
41
   %
                1 : SYMMETRIC
42
   %
                2 : ONLY-TENSION
43
   %
                3 : NON-SYMMETRIC
44
   %
45
   %
46
   % n = Ratio compression/tension strength
47
   % (dummy if MDtype is different from 3)
48
```

```
%
49
   % TimeTotal = Interval length
50
   %
51
   %
      52
   %
53
   %
      1) sigma_v{itime}(icomp, jcomp)
54
   %
55
   %
56
   %
      2) vartoplot{itime}-->
57
   %
58
   %
       vartoplot{itime}(1) = Hardening variable (q)
59
   %
       vartoplot{itime}(2) = Internal variable (r)%
60
61
   %
62
   %
      3) LABELPLOT{ivar} --> Cell array with the label string for
63
                               variables of "varplot"
   %
64
   %
65
   %
              LABELPLOT{1} \Rightarrow 'hardening variable (q)'
66
   %
              LABELPLOT{2} => 'internal variable'
67
   %
68
   %
69
   % 4) TIME VECTOR ->
70
   71
72
   % SET LABEL OF "vartoplot" variables
73
   % (it may be defined also outside this function)
74
   % -----
75
    LABELPLOT = { 'hardening variable (q) ', 'internal variable' };
76
77
          = Eprop(1); nu = Eprop(2);
   Ε
78
   viscpr = Eprop(6) ;
79
   sigma_u = Eprop(4);
80
81
82
83
   if ntype == 1
84
       menu('PLANE STRESS has not been implemented yet', 'STOP');
85
       error('OPTION NOT AVAILABLE')
86
   elseif ntype == 3
87
       menu('3-DIMENSIONAL PROBLEM has not been implemented yet', 'STOP');
88
       error('OPTION NOT AVAILABLE')
89
   else
90
       mstrain = 4
                     ;
91
       mhist = 6
                     ;
92
   end
93
```

```
94
    totalstep = sum(istep) ;
95
96
97
    % INITIALIZING GLOBAL CELL ARRAYS
98
    % ------
99
    sigma_v = cell(totalstep+1,1) ;
100
    TIMEVECTOR = zeros(totalstep+1,1) ;
101
    delta t = TimeTotal./istep/length(istep) ;
102
103
104
    % Elastic constitutive tensor
105
    % -----
106
            = tensor elastico1 (Eprop, ntype);
    [ce]
107
    % Initz.
108
    % -----
109
    % Strain vector
110
    % -----
111
    eps n1 = zeros(mstrain,1);
112
    % Historic variables
113
    \% hvar_n(1:4) --> empty
114
    \% hvar_n(5) = q --> Hardening variable
115
    % hvar_n(6) = r --> Internal variable
116
    hvar n = zeros(mhist,1)
                              ;
117
118
    % INITIALIZING (i = 1) !!!!
119
    % ********i*
120
    i = 1;
121
    r0 = sigma_u/sqrt(E);
122
    hvar n(5) = r0; \% r_n
123
    hvar n(6) = r0; \ \% \ q_n
124
    eps_n1 = strain(i,:) ;
125
    sigma_n1 =ce*eps_n1'; % Elastic
126
    sigma v{i} = [sigma n1(1) sigma n1(3) 0;sigma n1(3) sigma n1(2) 0 ;...
127
                 0 0 sigma_n1(4)];
128
129
    nplot = 3;
130
    vartoplot = cell(1,totalstep+1) ;
131
    vartoplot{i}(1) = hvar n(6) ; % Hardening variable (q)
132
    vartoplot{i}(2) = hvar_n(5) ; % Internal variable (r)
133
    vartoplot{i}(3) = 1-hvar_n(6)/hvar_n(5) ; % Damage variable (d)
134
135
    Ctang=zeros(1,length(istep)*istep(length(istep)));
136
    Calg=zeros(1,length(istep)*istep(length(istep)));
137
138
    for iload = 1:length(istep)
139
```

```
% Load states
140
       for iloc = 1:istep(iload)
141
           i = i + 1;
142
          TIMEVECTOR(i) = TIMEVECTOR(i-1)+ delta t(iload) ;
143
          % Total strain at step "i"
144
          % -----
145
          if viscpr==1
146
              eps_n1=[strain(i-1,:);strain(i,:)];
147
          else
148
              eps_n1 = strain(i,:) ;
149
          end
150
          151
                  DAMAGE MODEL
          %*
152
           153
           [sigma n1,hvar n,aux var,Calg(i),Ctang(i)] =...
154
          rmap dano1(eps n1,hvar n,Eprop,ce,MDtype,n,q inf,delta t,...
155
          sigma_v{i-1});
156
157
          % PLOTTING DAMAGE SURFACE
158
          if(aux var(1)>0)
159
              hplotSURF(i) = dibujar_criterio_dano1(ce, nu, hvar_n(6),...
160
              'r:',MDtype,n );
161
              set(hplotSURF(i), 'Color', [0 0 1], 'LineWidth', 1)
162
          end
163
164
          165
           166
           % GLOBAL VARIABLES
167
          % ********
168
           % Stress
169
          % -----
170
          m_sigma=[sigma_n1(1)
                              sigma_n1(3) 0;sigma_n1(3) sigma_n1(2) 0;...
171
          0 0 sigma_n1(4)];
172
          sigma_v{i} = m_sigma ;
173
174
          % VARIABLES TO PLOT (set label on cell array LABELPLOT)
175
           % -----
176
          vartoplot{i}(1) = hvar n(6) ; % Hardening variable (q)
177
          vartoplot{i}(2) = hvar_n(5) ; % Internal variable (r)
178
          vartoplot{i}(3) = 1-hvar_n(6)/hvar_n(5) ; % Damage variable (d)
179
       end
180
   end
181
```

#### A.4 Rmap daño

```
function[sigma_n1,hvar_n1,aux_var,Calg,Ctang] = ...
1
   rmap_dano1(eps_n1,hvar_n,Eprop,ce,MDtype,n,delta_t,q_inf,eps_n)
2
3
   4
   %*
\mathbf{5}
   %*
               Integration Algorithm for a isotropic damage model
6
  %*
7
   %*
8
   %*[siqma_n1,hvar_n1,aux_var] = rmap_dano1 (eps_n1,hvar_n,Eprop,ce)
9
  %*
10
                        eps_n1(4)
  %* INPUTS
                                   strain (almansi)
                                                    step n+1
11
  %*
                                   vector R4 (exx eyy exy ezz)
12
  %*
                        hvar n(6)
                                   internal variables , step n
13
  %*
                                   hvar n(1:4) (empty)
14
  %*
                                   hvar_n(5) = r; hvar_n(6) = q
15
                        Eprop(:)
                                   Material parameters
  %*
16
  %*
17
                        ce(4,4)
  %*
                                 Constitutive elastic tensor
18
  %*
19
  %* OUTPUTS: sigma_n1(4) Cauchy stress , step n+1
20
  %*
               hvar n(6) Internal variables, step n+1
21
  %*
              aux var(3) Auxiliar variables for computing
22
   %
               const. tangent tensor *
23
   24
25
26
   hvar n1 = hvar n;
27
   r n
         = hvar n(5);
28
   q_n
         = hvar n(6);
29
         = Eprop(1);
   Е
30
         = Eprop(2);
   nu
31
   Η
          = Eprop(3);
32
   sigma_u = Eprop(4);
33
   hard type = Eprop(5);
34
   35
   ALPHA_COEFF=Eprop(8);
36
37
   %Viscosity:
38
   eta=Eprop(7);
39
   viscpr=Eprop(6);
40
41
   A=1; %exponential
42
   43
```

```
%*
           initializing
44
    r0 = sigma_u/sqrt(E);
45
    zero_q=1.d-6*r0;
46
   if(r n<=0.d0)
47
      r n=r0;
48
       q_n=r0;
49
   end
50
   51
52
53
   54
   %*
           Damage surface
55
   if viscpr == 0
56
       [rtrial]=Modelos_de_dano1(MDtype,ce,eps_n1,n);
57
       rtrialn1=rtrial ;
58
59
   elseif viscpr == 1
60
       [rtrialn]=Modelos_de_dano1 (MDtype,ce,eps_n1(1,:),n);
61
       [rtrialn1] = Modelos de dano1 (MDtype,ce,eps n1(2,:),n);
62
       [rtrial]=ALPHA_COEFF*rtrialn1 + (1-ALPHA_COEFF)*rtrialn ;
63
       eps_n1=eps_n1(2,:);
64
65
   end
66
67
   68
69
70
   71
   %*
        Ver el Estado de Carga
72
   %* ----->fload=0 : elastic unload
73
   %* ----->fload=1 : damage (compute algorithmic constitutive tensor)
74
       fload=0;
75
76
   if(rtrial > r_n)
77
       %* Loading
78
       fload=1;
79
       delta r=rtrial-r n;
80
       r n1=(delta t/(eta+ALPHA COEFF*delta t))*rtrial + ...
81
               ((eta-delta_t*(1-ALPHA_COEFF))/(eta+ALPHA_COEFF*delta_t))*...
82
               r_n ;
83
84
       if hard type == 0
85
          % Linear
86
          q_n1= q_n+ H*delta_r;
87
       else
88
```

```
%
                 Exponential
89
            q_n1 = q_inf - (q_inf - q_n) * exp(A*(1-r_n1/r_n));
90
        end
91
92
        if(q_n1<zero_q)</pre>
93
            q_n1=zero_q;
94
        end
95
96
        dano n1
                 = 1.d0-(q_n1/r_n1);
97
        sigma_n1 =(1.d0-dano_n1).*ce.*eps_n1';
98
        Ctang=(1-dano n1)*ce;
99
        Calg=(1-dano_n1)*ce-(ALPHA_COEFF*delta_t)/(eta+ALPHA_COEFF*delta_t)*...
100
        (1/rtrialn1)*(q_n1-H*r_n1)/(r_n1^2)*(sigma_n1'*sigma_n1);
101
        Ctang=Ctang(1,1);
102
        Calg=Calg(1,1);
103
104
    else
105
106
        %*
               Elastic load/unload
107
        fload=0;
108
109
        r_n1= r_n ;
110
        q_n1= q_n ;
111
        dano_n1 = 1.d0-(q_n1/r_n1);
112
        Calg=(1-dano n1)*ce;
113
        Ctang=Calg;
114
        Calg=Calg(1,1);
115
        Ctang=Ctang(1,1);
116
    end
117
    % Damage variable
118
    % -----
119
             = 1.d0-(q_n1/r_n1);
    dano n1
120
    % Computing stress
121
    % *********
122
    sigma n1 =(1.d0-dano n1)*ce*eps n1';
123
    %hold on
124
    %plot(sigma_n1(1),sigma_n1(2),'bx')
125
126
    127
128
129
    130
    %* Updating historic variables
131
    % hvar n1(1:4) = eps n1p;
132
   hvar n1(5) = r n1;
133
```