## Numerical methods for partial differential equations <br> Individual HW-1 <br> Basics

## Question 1:

$$
f_{(x)}=x^{3}+2 x^{2}+10 x-20=0
$$

Compute the unique real root of (1) with 4 iterations of Newton's method with the initial approximation $X_{0}=\sqrt[3]{20}$ (which is obtained neglecting the monomials with $x$ and $x_{2}$ in front of the monomial with $x_{3}$ ) for the previous polynomial. Plot the convergence graphic. Does Newton's method behave as expected?

Answer

| $X 0$ | $f(X 0)$ | $f^{\prime}(X 0)$ | $D X$ | $X 1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.71 | 41.88 | 42.96 | 0.97 | 1.74 |
| 1.74 | 8.71 | 26.04 | 0.33 | 1.40 |
| 1.40 | 0.77 | 21.54 | 0.04 | 1.37 |
| 1.37 | 0.01 | 21.10 | 0.00 | 1.37 |


| Iteration <br> no. | Iteration <br> difference |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2.71 |
| 2 | 0.97 |
| 3 | 0.33 |
| 4 | 0.04 |

The unique real root of this polynomial is 1.37.
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## Question 5:

We are interested in the definition of third-order numerical quadrature in interval $(0,1)$.
a) Determine the minimum number of integration points, and specify the integration points and weights.

## Answer

a) The minimum number of integration points ( $\mathbf{n}$ ) needed is determined as follows

$$
\begin{gathered}
2 n-1=3 \\
n=\frac{3+1}{2}=2
\end{gathered}
$$

NB. Where $\mathbf{n}$ here is the number of the integration points while the number of intervals is 1.

The approximate function used to model the exact integral takes the form of

$$
\int_{a}^{b} g(x) d x \approx C_{1} f\left(x_{1}\right)+C_{2} f\left(x_{2}\right)=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x
$$

Where C1 and C2 are the weights, X1 and X2 are the integration points. While $f(x)$ is the quadrature function used and in this case it is a third order function taking the form of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x)=\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} x+\alpha_{z} x^{2}+\alpha_{3} x^{3} \\
& \int_{0}^{1} f(x) d x=\alpha_{0} x+x_{1} \frac{x^{2}}{2}+\alpha_{2} \frac{x^{3}}{3}+\left.\alpha_{3} \frac{x^{4}}{4}\right|_{0} ^{1} \\
&=\alpha_{0}+\frac{\alpha_{1}}{2}+\frac{\alpha_{2}}{3}+\frac{\alpha_{3}}{4} \\
& C_{1} f\left(x_{1}\right)+C_{2} f\left(x_{2}\right)=C_{1}\left(\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} x_{1}+\alpha_{z} x_{1}^{2}+\alpha_{3} x_{1}\right)+C_{2}\left(\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} x_{2}+\alpha_{z} x_{2}^{2}+\alpha_{3} x_{2}^{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we try to manipulate the equation to collect the set of unknowns ( $C_{1}, C_{2}, x_{1} \& x_{2}$ ) on the same side

$$
\alpha_{0}\left(C_{1}+C_{2}\right)+\alpha_{1}\left(C_{1} x_{1}+C_{2} x_{2}\right)+\alpha_{2}\left(C_{1} x_{1}^{2}+C_{2} x_{2}^{2}\right)+\alpha_{3}\left(C_{1} x_{1}^{3}+C_{2} x_{2}^{3}\right)=\alpha_{0}+\frac{\alpha_{1}}{2}+\frac{\alpha_{2}}{3}+\frac{\alpha_{3}}{4}
$$

After that we equate the unknowns $\left(C_{1}, C_{2}, x_{1} \& x_{2}\right)$ with the values of the coefficients of $\alpha_{0} \alpha \alpha_{2} \& \alpha_{3}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
C_{1}+C_{2}=1 \\
C_{1} x_{1}+C_{2} x_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \\
C_{1} x_{1}^{2}+C_{2} x_{2}^{2}=\frac{1}{3} \\
C_{1} x_{1}^{3}+C_{2} x_{2}^{3}=\frac{1}{4} \\
\boldsymbol{X}_{\mathbf{1}}=\mathbf{0 . 2 1 1 3} \quad X_{\mathbf{2}}=\mathbf{0 . 7 8 8 6} \\
C_{\mathbf{1}}=\frac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{2}} \quad C=\frac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

b) Is it possible to obtain a third-order quadrature with the following four integration points: $x_{0}=1=4, x_{1}=1=2, x_{2}=3=4$ and $x_{3}=1$ ? If it is possible, compute the corresponding weights; otherwise, justify why not.

## Answer

According the $(2 n+1)$ rule where $n$ is the number of intervals to which the subdomain is divided, for a 4-integration points $n=3$ we need at least a polynomial quadrature of $7^{\text {th }}$ order undetermined non equally spaced interval hence because we have 4 integration points $\mathrm{XO}, \mathrm{X} 1$ $X 2$ and $X 3$ which are unknowns need 4 other weighting coefficients $C 0, C 1, C 2$ and $C 3$ which are unknowns we can apply Simpson 3/8 method to this in order to obtain the coefficients corresponding to $\mathrm{X} 0, \mathrm{X} 1 \mathrm{X} 2$ and X 3 .

## Question 6

a) If $n+1$ points Gaussian quadrature is used for numerical integration state the order of the polynomial that is integrated exactly.

Answer
A $(2 n+3)$ polynomial is going to be needed.
b) If $\boldsymbol{n}=\mathbf{2}$ is selected for Gaussian quadrature, which (if any) of the following integrals will be integrated exactly?

Answer

For $n=2$ ( 3 integration pts) a $5^{\text {th }}$ order quadrature at least is going to be needed, and in this case if the function that needs to be integrated is a $5^{\text {th }}$ order polynomial or less the answer we are going to obtain is the exact solution.

$$
\int_{0}^{1} x^{3} d x \quad \& \quad \int_{0}^{1} x^{4} d x
$$

The other 2 functions will not give the exact solution as one of them is not a polynomial ( $\sin x$ ) and the other owns a power which is greater than $5^{\text {th }}$ order so it is can be integrated with an error of

$$
E=\Omega f^{(2 n+2)^{\prime \prime}}(n)
$$

## Question 7:

Compute $\int_{0}^{1} 12 x d x, \int_{0}^{1} 5 x^{3}+2 x d x$ by hand calculation using

## i) Trapezoidal rule over 2 uniform intervals

ii) Simpson's rule over 2 uniform intervals

## Compute the error of both approximations. Are the methods behaving as expected?

## Answer

For the first integration $\int_{0}^{1} 12 x d x$

| Interval points | $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0.25 | 3 |
| 0.5 | 6 |
| 0.75 | 9 |
| 1 | 12 |


|  | Numerical integration value of <br> trapezoidal rule | Numerical integration value of <br> Simpson rule |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Numerical | 6 | 6 |
| TRUE | 6 |  |
| Error | 0 | 0 |

For the second integration $\int_{0}^{1} 5 x^{3}+2 x d x$

| Interval points | $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0.25 | 0.578125 |
| 0.5 | 1.625 |
| 0.75 | 3.609375 |
| 1 | 7 |


|  | Numerical integration value of <br> trapezoidal rule | Numerical integration value of <br> Simpson rule |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Numerical | 2.56 | 2.25 |
| TRUE | 2.25 |  |
| Error | -0.31 | 0.00 |

The 2 methods are behaving exactly as expected. The trapezoidal rule gives the exact results for function $1^{\text {st }}$ order function $\int_{\mathbf{0}}^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{1 2 x} \boldsymbol{d x}$ as expected as the error of the trapezoidal method is given by the following

$$
E=\frac{h^{3}}{12} f^{\prime \prime}(x)
$$

So it is function in the second derivative of the integrated function $\int_{0}^{1} 12 x d x$ which is Zero, hence the error is going to be zero as well hence obtaing the exact solution. While for the second function $\int_{0}^{1} 5 x^{3}+2 x d x$ we have an error corresponding to

$$
E=\frac{0.5}{12}(30 x)
$$

Fort the Simpson method, it gives the exact solution all over the 2 functions as the error of Simpson method is defined as

$$
E=\frac{h^{5}}{90} f^{4^{\prime}}(x)
$$

Which is function in the $4^{\text {th }}$ derivative of the integrated function which is Zero for both functions, hence the error is going to be zero as well hence obtaining the exact solution for both of them.

## Question 10:

## Perform the numerical integration of

$$
\iint_{0}^{1}\left(9 x^{3}+8 x^{2}\right)\left(y^{3}+y\right) d x d y
$$

using Simpson's rule in each direction. Is the approximation behaving as expected?

Answer

Simpson integration is applied for each direction ( $\mathrm{dx} \& \mathrm{dy}$ ) separately and then the results of both directions is multiplied together to obtain the result of the integration at the end. The exact results are compared to the solution obtained by Simpson with $m=16, n=2$ intervals

| Interval <br> points | $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{y})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 0.063 | 0.033 | 0.063 |
| 0.125 | 0.143 | 0.127 |
| 0.188 | 0.341 | 0.194 |
| 0.250 | 0.641 | 0.266 |
| 0.313 | 1.056 | 0.343 |
| 0.375 | 1.600 | 0.428 |
| 0.438 | 2.285 | 0.521 |
| 0.500 | 3.125 | 0.625 |
| 0.563 | 4.133 | 0.740 |
| 0.625 | 5.322 | 0.869 |
| 0.688 | 6.706 | 1.012 |
| 0.750 | 8.297 | 1.172 |
| 0.813 | 10.109 | 1.349 |
| 0.875 | 12.154 | 1.545 |
| 0.938 | 14.447 | 1.761 |
| 1.000 | 17.000 | 2.000 |


|  | Num. int. of <br> x | Num. int. <br> of y | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4.917 | 0.750 | 3.688 |
|  | 4.917 | 0.750 | 3.688 |
| Error | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

The method is behaving exactly as expected with zero error as discussed above in question 7 .

